



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,164	02/20/2004		Mo Liu	AMI-2707	8517
7	590	12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
LIU MO P.O. Box 90				BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO	
Tainan City,	704			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN				3676	
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- IVV				
Office Action Commence	10/785,164	LIU, MO	V				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Oc	ctober 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· •						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,				
	The state of the s						

Application/Control Number: 10/785,164

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 6, "the tubular cover" lacks antecedent basis and should be –a tubular cover—.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson 1,394,259 or Johnson 1,566,965 in view of Weinraub 6,725,692. Both Johnson references teach padlock casings 5 having a keyhole 10 and shackle holes to receive a cable shackle (20;16 respectively) encased within a tubular sheath comprised of a series of round projections and curved recesses with a fixed bolt end (at 24; at 19) having a hole to receive the cable and a curved upper portion and an annular groove. The fixed bolt end engaged within the casing and a removable bolt end (at 37; at 31) with an annular groove (at 31; at 27) to receive lock means (15;15) therein. Johnson fails to teach a fixing pin in a vertical side wall of the casing to engage the fixed end of the shackle.

Art Unit: 3676

Weinraub clearly teaches the well known use of a fixing pin 39 in a vertical side wall hole 40h to engage the fixed end of a shackle 38a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the casing of Johnson by providing a substitute fixing means for the fixed shackle end as taught by Weinraub to be well known in the art as a functional equivalent.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth above, the prior art patents to Johnson are deemed to teach the cable shackle and tubular cover member as claimed including the various annular grooves, curved recesses and fixing bolt holes. Furthermore, Weinraub clearly teaches the use o a fixing pin in a vertical side wall as a means to fix on end of a shackle within a casing. Accordingly, claim 2 stands rejected.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 3676

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 703-308-0825. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzande Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676